

THE SCIENCE BEHIND POSITIVE PATIENT OUTCOMES

Reprinted from November 2023



Medtronic Supported by

Perioperative Brain Monitoring (Part 1 of a 3-Part Series) Optimizing Care in Total Intravenous Anesthesia Procedures With BIS Monitoring

Faculty

Jiapeng Huang, MD, PhD, FASA, FASE

Tenured Professor and Vice Chairman Medical Director of Operating Rooms Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine School of Medicine University of Louisville Louisville, Kentucky

Introduction

Brain monitoring may enhance outcomes for surgeries that necessitate total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA).^{1,2} Of note, the Bispectral Index™ (BIS™) Monitoring System enables clinicians to noninvasively gain insights into the patient-specific effects of anesthesia, allowing personalization of dosing to help mitigate the risk for adverse effects and enhance postoperative recovery.³⁻⁵

Overview of TIVA

Anesthesia requirements vary due to interindividual differences in pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics. ⁶⁻⁸ Although many anesthesiologists rely on clinical signs (eg, heart rates, blood pressures, change in breathing patterns and patient movements) or endtidal anesthetic gas to titrate anesthetic dosing, these parameters may not reliably predict the level of consciousness and can lead to potential over- or underdosing, causing intraoperative and postoperative complications. ⁹⁻¹²

Intraoperative awareness is a distressing event caused by an inadequate depth of anesthesia.^{3,9,10} The risk for awareness during TIVA could be 5 to 10 times higher than that for inhaled anesthesia.^{3,9,13,14} This may be partially attributed to the short-acting nature and variable dosing of certain IV anesthetics and lack of end-tidal measurement to monitor anesthesia depth.^{9,10} Awareness during

surgery may lead to serious psychological disturbance and postoperative delirium.^{3,9,11} In addition, the use of too much anesthetics may prolong postoperative recovery and increase complications.¹⁵

Despite the increased risk for awareness, propofol may be preferred to inhalational anesthesia. Because intravenous agents cause less depression of evoked responses, it is the preferred anesthetic for spine surgeries, as it facilitates somatosensory-evoke and motor-evoke potential monitoring. ^{16,17} Due to its smooth, coherent emergence with less risk for vomiting, propofol is commonly used during awake craniotomy. ¹⁷ Additionally, propofol may be used to mitigate the baseline risk for postoperative nausea and vomiting and postoperative cognitive dysfunction. ¹⁸⁻²³

Reliable monitoring techniques allow providers to take advantage of the benefits of TIVA while maximizing patient safety. Of note, processed electroencephalogram (EEG) monitoring is strongly recommended within enhanced recovery after surgery protocols and by several medical societies, including the American Society for Enhanced Recovery, to reduce intraoperative awareness with TIVA.^{1,15,24}

BIS-Guided TIVA

The BIS™ Brain Monitoring system collects real-time EEG data, applies a validated algorithm, and presents a BIS value that correlates well with the hypnotic state and anesthetic drug concentration, especially during high hypnotic–low opioid anesthesia. 14,25 The BIS value ranges from 0 (deep anesthesia) to 100 (awake), with a range of 40 to 60 recommended during maintenance of anesthesia. 15,25,26 By quantifying the level of consciousness, BIS monitoring provides a more objective measure of awareness compared with traditional assessments. 27

A 2019 Cochrane review found that BIS-guided TIVA with propofol reduced intraoperative awareness risk by 76% compared with monitoring clinical signs.²⁸ Individual controlled studies report even greater reductions in awareness ranging from 79% to 82%.^{3,9} Despite these impressive findings, BIS monitoring alone will not

Access brain monitoring training curricula, webinars, podcasts & more.



prevent intraoperative awareness; however, it can complement clinical observations, becoming a vital preventive tool.²⁶

BIS-guided TIVA also has other advantages compared with standard practice. 11,12,28-31 A 2019 Cochrane review found a shortened time to eye opening and post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) discharge by approximately 2 and 7 minutes, respectively, with BIS monitoring-guided anesthesia care.²⁸ In a multicenter, prospective, randomized study using propofol-alfentanil-nitrous oxide anesthesia, BIS monitoring led to faster extubation (7.25 vs 11.22 minutes), higher orientation rates on PACU arrival (43% vs 23%), quicker eligibility for discharge (31.70 vs 37.77 minutes), and improved nursing assessments compared with standard practice.²⁹ In a prospective, randomized controlled trial of 120 patients undergoing ear, nose, and throat surgery with sevoflurane or propofol, BIS-guided TIVA with propofol accelerated extubation (12.6 vs 15.4 minutes; P<0.001) and resulted in a 28.9% reduction in the cost of propofol induction (\$0.93 vs \$1.30; P<0.001).30 In terms of anesthetic dosage, a 2014 Cochrane review found that BIS-guided TIVA reduced the mean propofol infusion rate by 1.32 mg/kg per hour, whereas individual studies have found propofol dose reductions ranging from 5% to 50%. 11,12,31 A 2018 randomized controlled trial found that BISguided TIVA led to a lower incidence of postoperative delirium when compared with standard practice (17% vs 27.5%; P<0.001).32

Conclusion

Maintaining proper levels of sedation is vital for patient safety during surgery.¹⁻³ Incorporating BIS monitoring can enhance personalization of anesthetic dosing and safety throughout TIVA-administered surgeries and yields improved perioperative outcomes. 11,12,28-31

The BIS™ monitoring system should not be used as the sole basis for diagnosis or therapy and is intended only as an adjunct in patient assessment. Reliance on the BIS™ monitoring system alone for intraoperative anesthetic management is not recommended.

References

- 1. Nimmo AF, et al. Anaesthesia, 2019;74(2):211-224.
- 2. Dershwitz M. Sem Anesth Periop Med Pain. 2001;20(4):246-256.
- 3. Myles PS, et al. Lancet. 2004;363(9423):1757-1763.
- 4. Monitoring intraoperative depth of anesthesia with Bispectral Index™ (BIS™) Monitoring System during total intravenous anesthesia. Accessed August 24, 2023. https://www. medtronic.com/content/dam/covidien/library/us/en/product/brain-monitoring/bismonitoring-tiva-clinical-evidence-guide.pdf
- Bispectral Index™ (BIS™) Monitoring System. Accessed August 24, 2023. https://www. medtronic.com/covidien/en-us/products/brain-monitoring/bis-monitoring-system.html
- Vyuk J, et al. Br J Anaesth. 2001;86(2):183-188.
- 7. White M, et al. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2008;47(2):119-127.
- 8. Van Kralingen S, et al. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2011;50(11):739-750.
- 9. Zhang C, et al. Chin Med J (Engl). 2011;124(22):3664-3669.
- 10. Avidan MS, et al. Anesthesiology. 2013;118(2):449-456.
- 11. Punjasawadwong Y, et al. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;(6):CD003843.
- 12. Chiu CL, et al. Anaesth Intensive Care. 2007;35(3):342-347.
- 13. Sebel PS, et al. Anesth Analg. 2004;99:833-839.
- 14. Sandin RH, et al. Lancet. 2000;355(9205):707-711.
- 15. Depth of anaesthesia monitors–Bispectral Index (BIS), E-Entropy and Narcotrend-Compact M. November 12, 2012. Accessed August 24, 2023. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/dg6

- 16. Liu EHC, et al. Br J Anaesth. 2005;94(2):193-197.
- 17. Irwin MG, et al. Anaesthesia. 2002;75(suppl 1):e90-e100.
- 18. Gan TJ, et al. Anesth Analg. 2020;131(2):411-448.
- 19. Lee WK, et al. Br J Angesth, 2015:114(4):663-668.
- 20. Apfel CC, et al; the IMPACT investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350(24):2441-2451.
- 21. Walker CT, et al. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2020;45(13):911-920.
- 22. Sieber FE, et al. Mayo Clin Proc. 2010;85(1):18-26.
- 23. Negrini D, et al. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2002;18:1455-1467.
- 24. Chan MTV, et al. Anesth Analg. 2020;130(5):1278-1291.
- 25. Avidan MS, et al. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(7):591-600.
- 26. Vuyk J, et al. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2003;523:95-104.
- 27. Mashour GA, et al. Anesthesiology. 2012;117(4):717-725.
- 28. Lewis SR, et al. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019;9(9): CD003843.
- 29. Gan TJ, et al. Anesthesiology. 1997;87(4):808-815.
- 30. Bocskai T, et al. BMC Anesthesiol. 2018;18(1):100.
- 31. Okamoto A, et al. Clin Endosc. 2022;55(4):558-563.
- 32. Zhou Y, et al. Med Sci Monit. 2018;24:7785-7793.

Disclosures: Dr Huang reported that he is a consultant to GE Healthcare, Medtronic, and Mindray. He has received grant/research support from GE Healthcare, Gilead Sciences, and Mespere LifeSciences.

Disclaimer: This article is designed to be a summary of information. While it is detailed, it is not an exhaustive review. McMahon Publishing, Medtronic, and the faculty neither affirm nor deny the accuracy of the information contained herein. No liability will be assumed for the use of this article, and the absence of typographical errors is not guaranteed. Readers are strongly urged to consult any relevant primary literature.

Copyright © 2023 McMahon Publishing, 545 West 45th Street, New York, NY 10036. Printed in the USA. All rights reserved, including the right of reproduction, in whole or in part, in any form.