## Medtronic

## Plus doesn't always equal more

A new meta-analysis shows triclosan-coated sutures are no better at preventing surgical site infection (SSI).<sup>1</sup> But they do cost more.<sup>2</sup>

Does your facility overspend on triclosan-coated sutures?



Make the switch to non-triclosan-coated sutures from Medtronic.

- Using high-quality studies, NIHR meta-analysis casts doubt on the results from previous studies.<sup>1</sup>
- The FALCON study shows no evidence of a difference in the risk of SSI with triclosan-coated sutures versus non-triclosan-coated sutures.<sup>2</sup>
- The financial burden associated with triclosan-coated sutures is significant.<sup>2</sup>

Scan the QR code to get the facts about triclosan-coated sutures.



- 1. NIHR Global Research Health Unit on Global Surgery. Alcoholic chlorhexidine skin preparation or triclosan-coated sutures to reduce surgical site infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis of high-quality randomised controlled trials. *The Lancet*. May 2022.
- 2. NIHR Global Research Health Unit on Global Surgery. Reducing surgical site infections in low-income and middle-income countries (FALCON): a pragmatic, multicentre, stratified, randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet.* 2021;398.