

# SCS for Painful Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy: 3-Month Clinical Outcomes Collected Using a Digital Health Platform

Melissa Murphy<sup>1</sup>, Filippo Coletti<sup>2</sup>, Don McCormick<sup>3</sup>, Velimir Micovic<sup>4</sup>, Maddie LaRue<sup>5</sup>, Vwaire Orhurhu<sup>6,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>North Texas Orthopedics & Spine Center, Grapevine, TX, United States, <sup>2</sup>Study and Scientific Solutions, Medtronic, Rome, Italy, <sup>3</sup>DCH SpineCare, Tuscaloosa, AL, United States, <sup>4</sup>National Spine & Pain Centers, Fort Myers, FL, United States, <sup>5</sup>Medtronic Neuromodulation, Minneapolis, MN, United States, <sup>6</sup>University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Williamsport, PA, United States, <sup>7</sup>University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA, United States.

INTRODUCTION	RESULTS																																																							
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) is a common neuropathic syndrome seen in 30% of patients with diabetes<sup>1</sup>.</li> <li>DPN can present with painful symptoms including bilateral stabbing or burning pain, in addition to numbness in the feet and lower legs<sup>1</sup>.</li> <li>Spinal cord stimulation (SCS) is a non-opioid therapy that has been shown to be an effective treatment for painful DPN<sup>2-3</sup>.</li> <li>An analysis of 3-month real-world data collected from DPN patients treated with SCS was conducted utilizing a digital health platform.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Between 2022-October-01 and 2023-July-28, 295 patients with a DPN indication were implanted with SCS devices while utilizing the digital health platform (Table 1), with 204 of them (69.2%) converting to a permanent implant.</li> <li>PROMIS-29 surveys were completed by 42 patients at baseline (pre-implant) and 26 patients at 3-months. P-values were computed considering only the cohort of patients with paired answers at baseline and 3-months using a paired T-test (n=20).</li> <li>Average pain intensity scores (<math>\pm</math> standard deviation) improved from 7.1 (<math>\pm</math> 1.67) at baseline to 4.4 (<math>\pm</math> 2.13) at 3-months (p &lt; 0.001).</li> <li>All but one of the functional domains report significant improvement between baseline and 3 months (Table 1). The mental domain of depression showed no change (p = 0.81).</li> <li>Of patients with paired baseline and 3 months PROMIS-29 surveys (n=20), 95.0% reported an improvement of at least 5 points in any PROMIS domain.</li> </ul>																																																							
<p><b>MATERIALS &amp; METHODS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CareGuidePro™ (CGP) is a mobile application that serves as a digital health platform for patient education, feedback, and patient reported outcomes throughout the course of their SCS journey.</li> <li>We conducted a retrospective sub-analysis of real-world clinical data from DPN patients being treated with SCS in the United States utilizing CGP.</li> <li>We analyzed preoperative patient demographics and characterized patient pain profiles using PROMIS-29 (Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System®) surveys at baseline and at 3-month follow-up.</li> <li>The PROMIS-29 profile measure assesses 7 domains (pain interference, ability to participate in social roles and activities, sleep disturbance, fatigue, depression, anxiety and physical function) and pain intensity.</li> <li>Higher PROMIS symptom scores reflect worse symptom burden, and higher PROMIS function scores reflect better functioning.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Table 1. Demographics of CGP enrolled patients with DPN indication (n = 295)</b></p> <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>Age (years)</td> <td>60.5 <math>\pm</math> 15.9 [IQR 53 - 71]</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% Pts with Age <math>\geq</math> 65yrs</td> <td>44.1%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gender Female N (%)</td> <td>111 (37.6%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gender Male N (%)</td> <td>119 (40.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gender Unknown N (%)</td> <td>65 (22.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Known SCS Trial Type N (%), total</b></td> <td><b>211 (71.5%)</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Differential Target Multiplexed (DTM™)<sup>4</sup></td> <td>165 (78.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DTM™ SCS Endurance<sup>5</sup></td> <td>21 (10.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Evolve<sup>SM</sup> Workflow<sup>6</sup></td> <td>25 (11.8%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Age (years)	60.5 $\pm$ 15.9 [IQR 53 - 71]	% Pts with Age $\geq$ 65yrs	44.1%	Gender Female N (%)	111 (37.6%)	Gender Male N (%)	119 (40.3%)	Gender Unknown N (%)	65 (22.1%)	<b>Known SCS Trial Type N (%), total</b>	<b>211 (71.5%)</b>	Differential Target Multiplexed (DTM™) <sup>4</sup>	165 (78.2%)	DTM™ SCS Endurance <sup>5</sup>	21 (10.0%)	Evolve <sup>SM</sup> Workflow <sup>6</sup>	25 (11.8%)	<p><b>Table 2. PROMIS-29 domain T-scores at baseline and 3-month follow-up. Data reported as T-score <math>\pm</math> standard deviation. P-values are reported for the change from baseline to 3-month follow-up for each domain.</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>PROMIS-29 domain</th> <th>Baseline</th> <th>3-months</th> <th>P-value</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>Ability to Participate in Social Roles and Activities</b></td> <td>41.3 <math>\pm</math> 8.5</td> <td>46.7 <math>\pm</math> 9.2</td> <td>0.010</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Anxiety</b></td> <td>52.8 <math>\pm</math> 9.4</td> <td>49.3 <math>\pm</math> 8.7</td> <td>0.022</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Depression</b></td> <td>49.7 <math>\pm</math> 9.3</td> <td>49.5 <math>\pm</math> 9.9</td> <td>0.808</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Fatigue</b></td> <td>57.1 <math>\pm</math> 7.6</td> <td>52.3 <math>\pm</math> 7.9</td> <td>0.023</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Pain Intensity</b></td> <td>7.0 <math>\pm</math> 1.7</td> <td>4.3 <math>\pm</math> 2.1</td> <td>&lt; 0.001</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Pain Interference</b></td> <td>65.4 <math>\pm</math> 5.2</td> <td>59.9 <math>\pm</math> 7</td> <td>0.003</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Physical Function</b></td> <td>33.8 <math>\pm</math> 5.6</td> <td>40.0 <math>\pm</math> 7.4</td> <td>&lt; 0.001</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Sleep Disturbance</b></td> <td>56.0 <math>\pm</math> 6</td> <td>50.6 <math>\pm</math> 6</td> <td>&lt; 0.001</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	PROMIS-29 domain	Baseline	3-months	P-value	<b>Ability to Participate in Social Roles and Activities</b>	41.3 $\pm$ 8.5	46.7 $\pm$ 9.2	0.010	<b>Anxiety</b>	52.8 $\pm$ 9.4	49.3 $\pm$ 8.7	0.022	<b>Depression</b>	49.7 $\pm$ 9.3	49.5 $\pm$ 9.9	0.808	<b>Fatigue</b>	57.1 $\pm$ 7.6	52.3 $\pm$ 7.9	0.023	<b>Pain Intensity</b>	7.0 $\pm$ 1.7	4.3 $\pm$ 2.1	< 0.001	<b>Pain Interference</b>	65.4 $\pm$ 5.2	59.9 $\pm$ 7	0.003	<b>Physical Function</b>	33.8 $\pm$ 5.6	40.0 $\pm$ 7.4	< 0.001	<b>Sleep Disturbance</b>	56.0 $\pm$ 6	50.6 $\pm$ 6	< 0.001
Age (years)	60.5 $\pm$ 15.9 [IQR 53 - 71]																																																							
% Pts with Age $\geq$ 65yrs	44.1%																																																							
Gender Female N (%)	111 (37.6%)																																																							
Gender Male N (%)	119 (40.3%)																																																							
Gender Unknown N (%)	65 (22.1%)																																																							
<b>Known SCS Trial Type N (%), total</b>	<b>211 (71.5%)</b>																																																							
Differential Target Multiplexed (DTM™) <sup>4</sup>	165 (78.2%)																																																							
DTM™ SCS Endurance <sup>5</sup>	21 (10.0%)																																																							
Evolve <sup>SM</sup> Workflow <sup>6</sup>	25 (11.8%)																																																							
PROMIS-29 domain	Baseline	3-months	P-value																																																					
<b>Ability to Participate in Social Roles and Activities</b>	41.3 $\pm$ 8.5	46.7 $\pm$ 9.2	0.010																																																					
<b>Anxiety</b>	52.8 $\pm$ 9.4	49.3 $\pm$ 8.7	0.022																																																					
<b>Depression</b>	49.7 $\pm$ 9.3	49.5 $\pm$ 9.9	0.808																																																					
<b>Fatigue</b>	57.1 $\pm$ 7.6	52.3 $\pm$ 7.9	0.023																																																					
<b>Pain Intensity</b>	7.0 $\pm$ 1.7	4.3 $\pm$ 2.1	< 0.001																																																					
<b>Pain Interference</b>	65.4 $\pm$ 5.2	59.9 $\pm$ 7	0.003																																																					
<b>Physical Function</b>	33.8 $\pm$ 5.6	40.0 $\pm$ 7.4	< 0.001																																																					
<b>Sleep Disturbance</b>	56.0 $\pm$ 6	50.6 $\pm$ 6	< 0.001																																																					
	<p><b>CONCLUSIONS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This analysis demonstrates that SCS can provide significant improvement in pain-related symptoms for patients with DPN.</li> <li>Findings from this analysis suggest SCS can be an effective therapy for painful DPN that should be considered when treating this patient population.</li> <li>Additionally, this study supports the use of digital health technology to allow for robust patient-reported outcomes collection.</li> </ul>	<p><b>REFERENCES</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sloan G, et al. The treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy. <i>Current Diabetes Reviews</i>. 2022;18(5):e070721194556.</li> <li>de Vos CC, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in patients with painful diabetic neuropathy: a multicentre randomized clinical trial. <i>Pain</i>. 2014;155(11):2426-31.</li> <li>Slangen R, et al. Spinal cord stimulation and pain relief in painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a prospective two-center randomized controlled trial. <i>Diabetes Care</i>. 2014;37(11):3016-24.</li> <li>Fishman M, et al. 12-Month Results from Multicenter, Open-Label, Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial Comparing Differential Target Multiplexed Spinal Cord Stimulation and Traditional Spinal Cord Stimulation in Subjects with Chronic Intractable Back Pain and Leg Pain. <i>Pain Pract</i>. 2021. Aug 7. doi: 10.1111/papr.13066.</li> <li>Provenzano D, et al. A Prospective Multi-Center Study of a Reduced-Energy DTM™ Stimulation Derivative: Long-Term Outcomes in Therapy Naïve Patients. Poster presented at American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine (ASRA) Annual Pain Medicine Meeting; Nov. 17-19, 2022; Orlando, FL, USA.</li> <li>Hatheway J, et al. Long-Term Efficacy of a Novel Spinal Cord Stimulation Clinical Workflow Using Kilohertz Stimulation: Twelve-Month Results From the Vectors Study. <i>Neuromodulation</i>. 2020. Oct 28. doi: 10.1111/ner.13324.</li> </ol>																																																						

This study was sponsored by Medtronic

UC202408759EN

# 2024 NANS ANNUAL MEETING

